

DEFENDANTS' ADMIN. MOTION TO FILE COMBINED REPLY UNDER SEAL (Docket No.)

1 KMH's request is made in connection with a dispositive motion. Accordingly, the
 2 documents may not be filed under seal unless there is a "compelling interest" in doing so. Pintos v.
 3 Pac. Creditors Ass'n, 504 F.3d 792, 801-03 (9th Cir. 2007). This is because the public interest
 4 favors filing all court documents in the public record. A compelling interest cannot be established
 5 simply by showing that the document is subject to a protective order or is considered confidential by
 6 a party, but rather must be supported by a sworn declaration demonstrating with particularity the
 7 need to file each document or portion thereof under seal. See id.; Local Rule 79-5(a).

8 KMH has filed a declaration in support of its motion. In the declaration, KMH designates the
 9 following portions of the Combined Reply as either privileged or confidential, or both:

10 page 1:18-22;
 11 page 3, portion of footnote 6;
 12 pages 3-4, portions of footnote 7;
 13 page 5, portions of footnote 8;
 14 page 6:13.5-18.5;
 15 page 6, portions of footnote 9;
 16 pages 7:10.5-8:1; and
 17 page 7, portions of footnote 10.

18 KMH has established that these portions of the Combined Reply contain sensitive financial
 19 information or attorney-client communications for which a compelling interest exists to file under
 20 seal.

21 Accordingly, KMH's motion for leave to file under seal is GRANTED. The clerk shall file
 22 under seal Defendants' Combined Reply in Support of Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment
 23 with the foregoing portions redacted.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: _____

 Hon. Claudia Wilken
 United States District Judge
 Northern District of California